UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,431	04/07/2004	R. Jeffrey Jordan	IGT1P327/AC055	7182
22434 BEYER WEA	7590 08/02/2001 VER LLP		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 702:	50		HOEL, MATTHEW D	
OAKLAND, C	CA 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER '
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/820,431	JORDAN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Matthew D. Hoel	3714

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Matthew D. Hoel	3714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hader 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as at forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, hay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  OTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause		
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		u : :		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·		•		
Claim(s) objected to  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 10-24</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
<ul><li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 7/2/200	<u>7</u>	/		
		MAN			
		The state of			
	ROBI	RY PRIMARY EXAM	MINER		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 10/820,431

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While Burns does not require the new limitation (Para. 19) it would be obvious to verify the player's identity to ensure security and deter fraud. Burns does suggest the use of an identification card (Para. 47). Gatto in cashing out a ticket at an ATM machine (Abst., Para. 17) would require identification in the form of a credit/debit card and a PIN, especially if crediting a credit/debit account as in Para. 45.